

ANALELE UNIVERSITĂȚII "EFTIMIE MURGU" REȘIȚA ANUL XVIII, NR. 3, 2011, ISSN 1453 - 7397

Florica Novăcescu

High-performance Scientific Computing using Parallel Computing to Improve Performance Optimization Problems

HPC (High Performance Computing) has become essential for the acceleration of innovation and the companies' assistance in creating new inventions, better models and more reliable products as well as obtaining processes and services at low costs. The information in this paper focuses particularly on: description the field of high performance scientific computing, parallel computing, scientific computing, parallel computers, and trends in the HPC field, presented here reveal important new directions toward the realization of a high performance computational society. The practical part of the work is an example of use of the HPC tool to accelerate solving an electrostatic optimization problem using the Parallel Computing Toolbox that allows solving computational and data-intensive problems using MATLAB and Simulink on multicore and multiprocessor computers.

Keywords: HPC, parallel supercomputers, parallel computing, scientific computing

1. Introduction

The increasing requirements of computing resources have determined two directions of development. On the one hand, the massive parallel supercomputers which have constituted a material basis for some research centres in the world and which have represented and they still represent the actual frontier in the field of numerical simulation of different physical phenomena. The number of these computers is dramatically limited due to the price and the high maintenance and exploitation costs, being produced in a very small bank. On the other hand, the socalled clusters (networks of computers) which represent the standard of hardware endowment for universities and research institutes, although they are composed of performant units at the level of personal computers or work stations, they represent an extremely important potential of computing resources and which is hardly used in the present.

By the complexity of flow equations and the difficulties which accompany the numerical solving of mathematical models, the fluids mechanics has constituted one of the main stimulus for the development of new technics of analytic solving of equations and equations systems with partial derivatives and in the last decades, their numerical solving. The development and maturation of numeric methods and their implementation algorithms constitutes an undoubted achievement of the last two decades. Simultaneously, the available hardware resources have substantially increased the speed of calculation and the memory capacity, facilitating the current use of the numerical simulation of flows, analysis and optimization.

Therefore research and development are used in order to achieve scientific progress, for which HPC is only an instrument which is sometimes blunt, always expensive, but, however, it is an important instrument.

We live in a period interested in the use of the high performance calculation and a period with promises of unequalled performance for those who can effectively use the high performance computing.

2. The field of high performance computing

The work field could be translated in *computing in science and engineering* (*CSE*) or computational science and engineering (*CiSE*).

From the soft point of view, the high performance computing field (*HPC*) means the parallel computing (*computer science*) and the scientific computing (applied Mathematics).

The parallel computing means the simultaneous execution on several processors of the same instructions with the aim to solve faster a problem, subdivided and especially adapted. The parallel computing is used for problems that require many calculations and thus, they last very long, but which can be divided in independent, simpler sub-problems [2].

In the simplest sense, the parallel computing is the simultaneous use of multiple computing resources in order to solve a computing problem to be executed by several processors, thus, a problem is "broken" into more distinct parts which can be solved in the same time (contestant), each part being divided in a series of instructions, and the instructions for each part are simultaneously executed on different processors according to Figure 1 [2].



Figure 1. Parallel computing.

A parallel computing system is a computer with several processors which work in parallel. The first such systems were the super-computers. The new processors of multi-core type for PCs are also parallel computing systems.

The parallel computing systems are different by their inter-connecting type which can be between the component processors (known as processing elements or PEs) and between processors and memory. Programming in parallel computing is centred on the partition of the whole problem to be solved into separate tasks, allotting the tasks to the available processors and their synchronization in order to obtain convincing results [3].

The scientific computing is based on the construction of mathematical models, quantitative analysis techniques and the use of computers in order to solve scientific problems [6]. It practically represents computer simulations applications and other forms of computing the problems in diverse scientific disciplines [6]. It is based on the construction of mathematical models, quantitative analysis techniques and the use of computers in order to analyse and solve problems. It practically represents applications of computer simulations and other forms of computing the problems in diverse scientific disciplines.

The scientific computing represents the design and analysis activity for the numeric solving of mathematical problems in science and engineering, and traditionally this activity is called numeric analysis. The scientific computing is used in order to simulate natural phenomena, using virtual prototypes of models in engineering [7]. The general strategy is to replace a difficult problem with an easier one having the same solution, or a very similar solution, that is, they wish to replace an infinite problem with a finite problem, the differential one with the algebraically one, the non-linear with the linear, and eventually, a complicated one with a simple one, and the solution obtained can only approximate the solution of the original problem.

3. Architecture of sequential computers. Stored-program computer architecture.

With the aim of writing efficient scientific codes, it is important to understand the computer's architecture. The speed difference between the two codes which calculate the same result can vary from only some percents upwards, according to the way in which the algorithms are ciphered for the processor's architecture. Obviously, it is not sufficient to have an algorithm and to "put them on the computer" because we should have some knowledge connected to the computer's architecture and sometimes these are crucial.

Some problems can be solved on a single processor, others require a parallel computer which contains more than a processor, but taking into account the facts mentioned above, even for the parallel processing, it is necessary to understand the architecture of individual processors.

When we generally discuss about computing systems, we must take into account a certain architectural concept. This concept was discovered by Turing in 1936 and it was applied for the first time in a real machine (EDVAC) in 1949 by Eckert and Mauchly [1].

In Figure 2 we presented the block diagram of a *stored-program* type of computer. Its main feature which makes it different from the previous models is that its instructions are numbers which are memorised as data in the memory. The instructions are real and executed by the control unit; a separate arithmetic/logic unit which is responsible for real computing and deals with data stored in the memory, together with the instructions. I/O facilitates the communication with the users. The control unit and the arithmetic unit together with the interfaces corresponding to the memory and I/O form a central processing unit (CPU-*Central Processing Unit*).



Figure 2. Stored-program computer architecture.

Figure 2 describes a design with an undivided memory which stores both the program and the data ("stored program") and a processing unit which executes the instructions, operating on the data. Likewise, the programs are allowed to modify or to generate other programs because the instructions and data are in the same "deposit". This allows us to have editors and compilers: the computer treats the program's code as some data on which it can operate [4].

The *stored program*, which loads the control unit is stored in the memory together with any data that the arithmetic unit requires.

Programming a *stored program* type of computer supposes the modification of memory instructions, which can be mainly achieved by another program; a compiler is a typical example in this sense because it translates the constructors of a high level of language such as C or Fortran into instructions which can be memorised in the memory and then executed on the computer.

This project is the basis of all today's computing systems and its inherent problems still prevail:

• The instructions and data must continuously "load" the control units and the arithmetical one, but the speed of the memory interface represents a limitation of the computing performance. This brake is often called *von Neumann bottleneck*. The architectural optimizations and the programming techniques can attenuate the adverse effects of this constraint, but it must be clear that this one remains one of the most severe limiting factors.

• The architecture is inherently sequential, processing a single instruction with a single operator or a group of operators from the memory. In this sense the concept *SISD (Single Instruction Single Data)* was created. There are possibilities of modification and extension of this architecture in order to support "different types" of parallelism and the way such a parallel machine can be efficiently used.

Despite these shortcomings, no other architectural concept was found for the wide use in a similar way during the 70 years of electronic digital calculation [5].

5. Parallel computers

We talk about parallel computing every time a number of "computing elements" (*cores*) solve a problem in a cooperant way. All the modern architectures of supercomputers depend in a large measure on the parallelism and the number of processors in supercomputers which is in a continuous increase. The medium number of processors multiplied 50 times in the last decade. Between 2004 and 2009, the occurrence of multicore chips has led to a dramatic increase of the number of the basic typical cores [7].

In this subchapter, we present some theoretical notions regarding the fundamental variants of the parallel computers: shared-memory and distributedmemory. Both use the communication networks between processors or more generally between "computing elements". A parallel computer with shared memory is a system in which the number of processors works on a space of physical addresses shared and common for all the processors. Although transparent for the programmer in what concerns the functionality, there are two varieties of shared memory systems which have performance characteristics very different concerning the access to the main memory, thus: uniform memory access (UMA) systems in which the latency and the bandwidth are the same for all the processors and all the memory locations, also called symmetric multiprocessing (SMP-symmetric multiprocessing), and cache-coherent nonuniform memory access (ccNUMA) in which the memory is physically distributed but logically it is common (shared) [4].

Figure 3 presents a simplified block diagram of a parallel computer with distributed memory. Each P processor is connected to an exclusive local memory, meaning, no other CPU has direct access to it.



Figure 3. Simplified block diagram of a distributed memory parallel computer.

Nowadays, there is no distributed memory system. In this sense the scheme must be seen only as a programming model. Because of price/performance all the parallel machines of today, first of all the *PC clusters* are formed from a number of "computing nodes" with shared memory, with two or more processors; the point of view of distributed memory programmers does not reflect this. It is even possible (and rather usual) the use of programming the distributed memory on pure machines with shared memory [5].

Each node contains at least a *NI* - *network interface* which mediates the connection to a communication network. A serial process is executed on each CPU which can communicate with other processes on other CPU through the network. It is easy to imagine in what way several processors could work together on a common problem in a parallel computer with shared memory, but as there is no remote memory access on machines with distributed memory, the problem must be promptly solved by sending messages forward and backward between processes, taking into account the MPI paradigm. Although sending messages is more complex to use than any programming of shared memory paradigm, the supercomputers on a large scale are exclusively from the variant of a shared memory at "global" level [7].

6. Applied development.

The optimization problem whose solution is sought to be accelerated using parallel computing is an electrostatic problem and it is described as follows: considered N electrons in a conducting body. The electrons arrange themselves to minimize their potential energy, subject to the constraint constraint of lying inside the conducting body. The electrons arrange themselves to minimize their potential energy, subject to the constraint constraint of lying inside the conducting body. At the minimum total potential energy, all the electrons lie on the boundary of the body. Because the electrons are indistinguishable, there is no unique minimum for this problem (permuting the electrons in one solution gives another valid solution).

The first phase of implementation of the above application, is the formulation of the problem in Matlab, therefore have made three Matlab files: electronProblemOptimization.m that implements the optimization problem, in Figure 4 is defined the objective function in sumInvDist.m file, and the nlConstraint.m file defines the non-linear constraints.

The optimization goal is to minimize the total potential energy of the electrons subject to the constraint that the electrons remain within the conducting body. The objective function, potential energy, is the sum of the inverses of the distances between each electron pair (i, j = 1, 2, 3, ... E):

$$energy = \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{|x_i| - |x_j|} \tag{1}$$

The objective function is defined in sumInvDist.m file, as follows:



Figure 4. The Matlab file which defining the objective function.

The constraints that define the boundary of the conducting body are:

$$z \le -|x| - |y| \tag{2}$$

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + (z+1)^{2} \le 1$$
(3)

The first inequality is a non-smooth nonlinear constraint because of the absolute values on x and y. Absolute values can be linearized to simplify the optimization problem. This constraint can be written in linear form as a set of four constraints for each electron, i, where the indices 1, 2, and 3 refer to the x, y, and z coordinates, respectively:

$$x_{i,3} - x_{i,1} - x_{i,2} \le 0 \tag{4}$$

$$x_{i,3} - x_{i,1} + x_{i,2} \le 0 \tag{5}$$

$$x_{i,3} + x_{i,1} - x_{i,2} \le 0 \tag{6}$$

$$x_{i,3} + x_{i,1} + x_{i,2} \le 0 \tag{7}$$

This problem can be solved with the nonlinear constrained solver fmincon in Optimization Toolbox. The fmincon solver (find minimum of constrained nonlinear multivariable function) trying to find a minimum of a constrained scalar function of several variables from an initial estimate. Generally this is called nonlinear constrained optimization (with difficulties) or nonlinear programming.

Linear inequality equations (Aineqx = bineq) are defined for E electrons:

```
1
     E = 25; % numar de elctroni
2
     B = [ 1 1 1;
3
         -1 1 1;
4
          1 -1 1;
5
         -1 -1 1];
6
     electronProblem.Aineq = sparse(4*E,3*E);
    7
8
      electronProblem.Aineg(4*i-3:4*i, 3*i-2:3*i) = B;
    end
9
10
    electronProblem.bineq = sparse(4*E,1);
```

80

The starting condition will set all electrons to initially lie in the center of the conducting body:

```
81
       % Condiția initială impune ca toți electronii să se întindă inițial în
82
       % centrul corpului de conducție.
83
84
      % Se genereaza un set pseudo-aleator de electroni cu ajutorul secvenţei sobol
85 -
      x0 = net(sobolset(3),E)-0.5;
86
       % Normalizare la linia 1/2 in cadrul unei sfere de raza 1/2
87 -
      x0(:,3) = x0(:,3) - 2;
88 -
       x0 = reshape(x0',E*3,1)/2;
89 -
       electronProblem.x0 = x0;
90
```

4 Optimizati	on Tool	*							_ 🗆 🗙
<u>File</u> <u>H</u> elp									
Problem Setu	up and Re	esults					Options	>>	
Solver: fmincon - Constrained nonlinear minimization					tion	•	E Stopping criteria		*
Algorithm: Interior point						÷	Max iterations:	Use default 1000	
Problem								O Specify:	
Objective function: Derivatives:		@sumInvDist					Max function evaluations:	🕐 Use default: 3000	-
		Approximated by solver						Specify: 75000000	
Start point: electronP		roblem.x0				X tolerance:	Use default 1e-10		
Constraints								O Specify:	
Linear inequ	ualities:	A:	Problem.Aineq	b:	Problem.bine	q	Function tolerance:	Use default 1e-6	
Linear equa	lities:	Aeq:		beq:				Specify:	
Bounds: Lower: Nonlinear constraint function Derivatives:		Lower:		Upper:			Nonlinear constraint tolerance	e: 🧕 Use default. 1e-6	
		function	@nlConstraint				SQP constraint tolerance:	O Specify:	
			Approximated by solver					() Use default 1e-6	
Run solver ar	nd view re	sults						O Specify:	
Start	Paus <u>e</u>	Stop					Unboundedness threshold:	Use default -1e20	
Current itera	tion				Clear Results			C Specify:	
							E Function value check		
							Error if user-supplied funct	ion returns Inf, NaN or complex	
							Supplied derivatives		
							Validate user-supplied der	ivatives	
							Hessian sparsity pattern:	Use default: sparse(ones(numberOfVa	ariables))
							0	Specify:	
AV.						=	Hessian multiply function: (9)	Use default: No multiply function	
Final point:							0	Specify:	

The execution upload the problem on the work space and opening of optimization tools shown in Figure 5:

Figure 5. The optimization tool

The execution of the solver (optimization's running) is triggered by pressing the Start button in the optimization tool's window, being able to see, at the end of execution, the total number of iterations performed and the objective function value, as shown in Figure 6.

e 1995		-							
ile <u>H</u> elp									
roblem Setup and	Results				Options				
Solver: fmincon	er: fmincon - Constrained nonlinear minimization				B Stopping criteria				
Algorithm: Interior point					Max iterations:	Use default: 1000			
Problem						Specify:			
Objective function: @sumInvDist			7	Max function evaluations:	🔘 Use default: 3000				
Derivatives:	Approximated by solver electronProblem.x0				X tolerance:	Specify: 75000000			
Start point:						Use default: 1e-10			
Constraints:						O Specify:			
Linear inequalities: A: Problem.Aineq b: Problem.bineq				Problem.bineq	Function tolerance:	Use default 1e-6			
Linear equalities:	Aeq:		beq:			Specify:			
Bounds: Lower: Upper:					Nonlinear constraint toleran	te: Use default: 1e-6			
Nonlinear constraint function: @nlConstraint					Specify:				
Derivatives:		Approximated	by solv	er 💌	SOP constraint tolerance:	(i) Use default: 1e-6			
Run solver and view	results				Unboundedness threshold:	O Specify:			
Start Pause	Stop					Use default: -1e20			
Current iteration: 94				Clear Results		O Specify:			
ptimization running.					E Function value check				
bjective function value ocal minimum found th					Error if user-supplied function returns Inf, NaN or complex				
optimization completed easible directions, to w	because the	objective function	is non-d	ecreasing in	B User-supplied derivatives				
and constraints were sa tolerance.					Validate user-supplied de	Validate user-supplied derivatives			
					Hessian sparsity pattern:	Use default: sparse(ones(numberOfVariables))			
Final point:						Specify:			
Index ^		Value				Use default: No multiply function			
		1		0.842) Specify:			

Figure 6. The objective function value and the number of iterations obtained from serial execution of optimization problems.

This provides a snapshot of electrons in the form of tears (as shown in Figure 7) and the elapsed time displayed in the command window.



Figure 7. Distribution of the electrons

The default configuration of the optimization toolbox assume execution without parallel computing.

Further, runs this optimization problem in parallel on four cores using parallel computing, opening an Matlab parallel session (matlabpool) that runs the specified optimization problem, as shown in the following sequence of code:

104 %% Executia problemei in paralel pe 4 core-uri

- 105
 106 electronProblem.ontions.UseParallel = 'Always':
- 107 matlabpool('open','speedy-4core',4,'FileDependencies',{'sumInvDist','nlConstraint'})
- 108 x = fmincon(electronProblem);
- 109 matlabpool close

From Figure 8, which is a print screen of the commands window, we can see the value of the serial execution time for the optimization problem which is approximately equal to 70 seconds, and the parallel execution time achieved by the parallel execution of the optimization problem which is approximately equal to 22 seconds.



Figure 8. The result of serial and parallel execution (the serial and parallel time)

4. Conclusion

The obtained practical results confirms the theory on the utility of high performance scientific computing, taking into account the reduction of the execution time for optimization problem, which is an important performance aspect.

Using parallel computing to increase performance of solving the optimization problem formulated in this paper is just a simple example of when the parallel computing may prove useful, but surely that it is not unique, as mentioned in the first part of this paper where spoke about high performance computing and the possibilities of using it.

References

 Gharaibeh A., Ripeanu M., Size Matters: Space/Time Tradeoffs to Improve GPGPU Applications Performance, IEEE/ACM International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage, and Analysis (SC 2010), New Orleans, LA, November 2010.

- [2] Grama A., Gupta A., Karypis G., Kumar V., *Introduction to Parallel Computing, 2nd edition*, Addison-Wesley, 2003.
- [3] Catanzaro B., Fox A., Keutzer K, Patterson D., Su Bor-Yiing, Snir M., Olukotun K., Hanrahan P., Chafi H., *Ubiquitous Parallel Computing from Berkeley, Illinois, and Stanford, Micro*, IEEE, vol.30, no.2, pp.41-55, March-April 2010.
- [4] Hager G., Wellein G., *Introduction to High Performance Computing for Scientists and Engineers*, CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group, 2011.
- [5] Levesque J., Wagenbreth G., *High Performance Computing: Programming and Applications*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2010.
- [6] Michael T. Heath, *Scientific Computing. An Intorductory Survey*, The McGraw-Hill Companies, 2002.
- [7] Victor Eijkhout, Edmond Chow, Robert van de Geijn, *Introduction to High-Performance Scientific Computing*, 2010.

Address:

• Prep. Eng. Florica Novăcescu, "Eftimie Murgu" University of Reşiţa, Piaţa Traian Vuia, nr. 1-4, 320085, Reşiţa, <u>f.novacescu@uem.ro</u>